

## INTERVIEW SUMMARY AND SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE

Applicants express appreciation to Examiner Ori Nadav for allowing Applicants a telephonic interview on March 3, 2009, to discuss the claims of the present application. In general, Applicants discussed the Notice of Non-compliant Amendment mailed on January 7, 2009, which has since been withdrawn. Also, Applicants discussed the status of the claims corresponding to the species elected in Applicants' response filed on June 6, 2008.

As discussed in the interview, the election filed on June 6, 2008, can benefit from clarification. For reference, it should be noted that the illustrations of Figs. 1-3 were amended in Applicants response filed February 6, 2008. In that amendment, the figure numbers were amended to correctly correspond to the descriptions provided in the specification. In particular, Fig. 1 was renumbered as Fig. 2, Fig. 2 was renumbered as Fig. 3, and Fig. 3 was renumbered as Fig. 1. The following table summarizes the renumbered figures.

<u>Original Figure Number</u>	<u>Amended Figure Number</u>
1	2
2	3
3	1

Although Figs. 1-3 were renumbered in Amendment filed on February 6, 2008, the election filed on June 6, 2008, inadvertently referred to the original figure numbers, instead of the amended figure numbers. Thus, the election of the species corresponding to Fig. 3 was based on the original Fig. 3, which was renumbered as Fig. 1. In other words, Applicants intended to elect the species corresponding to the renumbered Fig. 1, which was originally designated as Fig. 3. Thus, the reference in the election filed on February 6, 2008, should be understood as an election of the claims corresponding to the original Fig. 3, which is the same as the renumbered Fig. 1. A review of the claims in that response shows that the pending claims correspond to the original Fig. 3, which is